



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/750,978 12/27/2000 Viktors Berstis AUS919990423US1 35525 06/13/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 IBM CORP (YA) HAMILTON, LALITA M C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DALLAS, TX 75380 3624

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/750,978	BERSTIS, VIKTORS	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lalita M. Hamilton	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 June 2005.		
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-16,18-26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-16,18-26 and 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers			
 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
,—	·	-, <u>-</u>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Port No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

On November 30, 2004, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-30. On March 18, 2005 and June 2, 2005, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1-3, 11-13, and 21-23 and canceling claims 7, 17, and 27.

Drawings

The objection has been withdrawn.

Specification

The objection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 9, 11-12, 19, 21-22, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Siegel (2002/0077923).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Siegel discloses a method and corresponding system and computer program product for performing online product registration comprising matching a buyer and a seller of goods, receiving, at an online site, registration information from a registering user, wherein the registration information includes universal product code for an item, and responsive to identifying a matched user, notifying the user of the match, wherein the universal product code of the item in the matched user exactly matches the universal product code of the item identified by the registering user (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35); identifying a matched user comprises searching a database for a transactional match, wherein the transactional match contains complementary offer terms to offer terms of the registering user (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35); and registration information includes a description of the condition of the item (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35—brand new).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3624

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-4, 10, 13-14, 20, 23-24, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Dutta (US 2002/0073008). The rejection was set forth in the previous Office Action.

Claims 5-6, 8, 15-16, 18, 25-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Okumura (US 2002/0032633). The rejection was set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-26, and 28-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,978

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

LMH